

Joy K. Fuyuno
 Direct Phone: (415) 393-2386
 joy.fuyuno@bingham.com

November 17, 2005

Via E-Filing

The Honorable Marilyn H. Patel
 United States District Court, Northern District of California
 450 Golden Gate Avenue
 Courtroom 15, 18th Floor
 San Francisco, CA 94102

Bingham McCutchen LLP
 Three Embarcadero Center
 San Francisco, CA
 94111-4067

415.393.2000
 415.393.2286 fax

bingham.com

Boston
 Hartford
 London
 Los Angeles
 New York
 Orange County
 San Francisco
 Silicon Valley
 Tokyo
 Walnut Creek
 Washington

Re: (1) *Lipton v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2669-MHP; (2) *Prohias v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2699-MHP; (3) *Konieczka v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2700-MHP; (4) *Niehaus v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2720-MHP; (5) *Hamilton v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2721-MHP; (6) *Brauch v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2743-MHP; (7) *Baxley v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2758-MHP; (8) *Frazier v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2813-MHP; (9) *Dickerson v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2818-MHP; (10) *The Harman Press v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2823-MHP; (11) *Shanghai 1930 Restaurant v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2830-MHP; (12) *Benjamin Allanoff v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2834-MHP; (13) *Major League Softball, Inc. v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2831-MHP; (14) *Lazio Family Products v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2859-MHP; (15) *Law Offices Laurel Stanley & Wm. Cronin v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2858-MHP; (16) *Walker v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2882-MHP; (17) *Naigow v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2898-MHP; (18) *Stoltz v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2897-MHP; (19) *Hewson v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2916-MHP; (20) *Lang v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2957-MHP; (21) *Trotter-Vogel Realty, Inc. d/b/a Prudential California Realty v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-3028-MHP; (22) *Juskiewicz v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-3094-MHP; (23) *Uwakwe, d/b/a/ Tom Cyp Computers v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-3197-MHP; (24) *Juan v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-3271-MHP; (25) *Dressed to Kill Draperies, LLC, v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-3272-MHP; (26) *Kinder v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-3273-MHP; (27) *Rush v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-3277-MHP

Dear Judge Patel:

Per the stipulations and orders entered in the above captioned cases,¹ I am writing to notify the Court of the MDL transfer order entered November 8, 2005, a copy of which is

¹ Orders were entered in most of the cases staying all dates and requiring notification to the Court of entry of the MDL decision. Stipulations staying all dates pending the MDL decision were filed in all cases except one (*Dickerson*), in which the parties agreed to the terms of the stipulation but which was not yet signed when the MDL decision issued.

Hon. Marilyn H. Patel
November 17, 2005
Page 2

attached. The Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation has ordered that the following 10 actions originally filed in the Northern District of California against Intel Corporation be centralized under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 in the District of Delaware as MDL 1717 (*In re Intel Corp. Microprocessor Antitrust Litigation*):


(1) *Lipton v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2669-MHP; (2) *Prohias v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2699-MHP; (3) *Konieczka v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2700-MHP; (4) *Niehaus v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2720-MHP; (5) *Hamilton v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2721-MHP; (6) *Brauch v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2743-MHP; (7) *Baxley v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2758-MHP; (8) *Frazier v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2813-MHP; (9) *Dickerson v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2818-MHP; and (10) *The Harman Press v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2823-MHP.

Tagalong notices have been filed for the following other 17 Northern District of California actions against Intel, for which conditional transfer orders should be issued soon:

(1) *Shanghai 1930 Restaurant v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05 2830-MHP; (2) *Benjamin Allanoff v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2834-MHP; (3) *Major League Softball, Inc. v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2831-MHP; (4) *Lazio Family Products v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2859-MHP; (5) *Law Offices Laurel Stanley & Wm. Cronin v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2858-MHP; (6) *Walker v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2882-MHP; (7) *Naigow v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2898-MHP; (8) *Stoltz v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2897-MHP; (9) *Hewson v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2916-MHP; (10) *Lang v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2957-MHP; (11) *Trotter-Vogel Realty, Inc. d/b/a Prudential California Realty v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-3028-MHP; (12) *Juskiewicz v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-3094-MHP; (13) *Uwakwe, d/b/a/ Tom Cyp Computers v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-3197-MHP; (14) *Juan v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-3271-MHP; (15) *Dressed to Kill Draperies, LLC, v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-3272-MHP; (16) *Kinder v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-3273-MHP; (17) *Rush v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-3277-MHP.

It is our understanding that the plaintiffs in these tagalong actions will not contest the conditional transfer orders.

Respectfully submitted,



Joy K. Fuyuno
Counsel for Intel Corporation

Attachment